IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.3028 OF 2006

Somling Prabhu Pujari .. Applicant
Versus
The State of Maharashtra .. Respondents

Mr.Girish Agarwal i/b.R.M.Agarwal & Associates for applicant
Ms.M.M.Deshmukh, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : 16th August 2006.

P.C.

. Heard Mr.Agarwal for applicant and Ms.Deshmukh, A.P.P. for State. It appears that criminal Misc.Application 267 of 2006 was filed by nine accused including the applicant, who is accused No.10. After perusing the application and the annexures thereto, I am of the view that some of the accused (majority of them) have identical surnames. It is in these

circumstances, there was confusion before the trial court about role of applicant accused before it. This is not a satisfactory way of dealing with a bail application filed jointly on behalf of nine accused.

2. However, without going into this aspect any further and when Mr.Agarwal is praying for withdrawal of this application with liberty to file a fresh application on behalf of the applicant for being released on bail, the application before me is disposed of as withdrawn, with liberty as above.

3. Needless to state that the trial court shall consider the application to be preferred by the applicant without being influenced by the earlier order and on its own merits and in accordance with law.

(S.C.Dharmadhikari, J)